DRAFT PRESENTATION OUTLINE

The Community Hospital Addition



Wednesday, March 29, 2017

Nicholas A Slobozien
THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY Senior Thesis - Spring 2017

DRAFT PRESENTATION OUTLINE

- 1. Title Slide (1 slide)
- 2. Presentation Overview (5 slides)
- 3. Project Background (3 slides)
 - a. Project Information
 - b. Project Team
- 4. Analysis 1 Prefabricated MEP Racks (5 slides)
 - a. Analysis Background
 - b. Existing Conditions
 - c. Site Logistics
 - d. Cost Comparison
 - e. Schedule Comparison
 - f. Results & Recommendation
- 5. Analysis 2 Prefabricated Headwalls (5 slides)
 - a. Analysis Background
 - b. Existing Conditions
 - c. Site Logistics
 - d. Cost Comparison
 - e. Schedule Comparison
- 6. Results & Recommendation
- 7. Analysis 3 Full Prefabricated Exterior Façade (12 slides)
 - a. Analysis Background
 - b. Existing Conditions
 - c. Alternative Systems
 - d. Mechanical Breadth (2 slides)
 - e. Choosing an Alternative System
 - f. Structural Breadth (2 slides)
 - g. Site Logistics
 - h. Cost Comparison
 - i. Schedule Comparison
 - j. Results & Recommendation
- 8. Analysis 4 Integration of Virtual Mock-Ups (7 slides)
 - a. Analysis Background
 - b. Opportunity Areas
 - c. Comparing Physical vs Virtual Mock-Ups
 - d. Case Study & Summary
 - e. Industry Interviews & Summary
 - f. Implementation Guide
 - g. Results and Recommendation
- 9. Conclusion and Recommendations (1 slide)
- 10. Closing Remarks (2 slides)
 - a. Questions

DRAFT PRESENTATION OUTLINE

Sample Slides



Community Hospital Addition Healthcare Palmyra, PA

> Final Presentation AE Senior Thesis 2017



Nicholas A. Slobozien Construction Option Faculty Advisor | Dr. John Messner



AE Senior Thesis | Spring 2017

Project Background

Closing Remarks

Closing Remarks

Analysis1: Prefabricated MEP Racks

Analysis 2: Prefabricated Headwalls

Analysis 4: Integration of Virtual Mock-Ups

Mechanical Breadth

Conclusions & Recommendations

Community Hospital Addition

Nicholas A. Slobozier



Full Prefabricated Exterior Façade

Structural Breadth

Goal | To see if the ACM Panel system affects the structural system currently in place

Reference | Steel Manual

Material Weights by Thicknesses			
Material	Thickness (in)	PSF	PLF
Thin Brick	1-1/8"	5	60
Mortar Joint	N/A	1	12
Brick Support Tray	1"	1	12
nsulated Metal Panel	3-7/8"	8	96
	Total	15	180

Superimposed Dead Load

 $Dead\ Load\ = Slab\ Thickness* Unit\ Weight\ of\ the\ Concrete$ $Dead\ Load = .4167'*\ 150\ PCF = 62.5\ PSF$

Total Weight

 $w = 1.2(Dead\ Load) * 1.6(Live\ Load)$ w = 1.2(62.5 + 12 + 15)(8.667) + 1.6(60)(8.667) w = 930.8358 + 832.032 = 1762.8588 PLF

Moment

 $M_{-}u = (wl^{2})/8$ $M_{-}u = (1762.8588)(24.0833^{2})/8$ = 127808.4395 lbs * ft

Check

128 ft.* kips < 294 ft.* kips



AE Senior Thesis | Spring 2017

Community Hospital Addition **Integration of Virtual Mock-Ups** Nicholas A. Slobozien



Project Background Analysis1: Prefabricated MEP Racks Analysis 2: Prefabricated Headwalls Analysis 3 Full Prefabricated Exterior Façade Mechanical Breadth

Structural Breadth Conclusions & Recommendations Case Study Review

Study | An Evaluation of Immersive Virtual Reality Systems for Design Reviews

Authors | Fadi Castronovo, Dragana Nikolic, Yifan Liu & John Messner

Background | Two Types Immersive Environments Semi & Fully

Case Study Summary

| Semi-immersive better at showing changes in

Semi-immersive better for large groups

Fully immersive better in providing a sense of

Allows for identify errors early in the preconstruction phase